

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/981,519	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ABBONDANZIO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kenneth Tang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Robert Voigt, Jr.

**Date of Interview:** 4/24/07

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☒ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *Kuster (US 5,819,112) was provided along with description of the reference.*

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
1-22

Claims discussed:  
1-22

Prior art documents discussed:  
US 5,819,112

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*It was agreed to amend the claims to place the claims in allowable format.*


  

**Part III.**


☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MENG-AL T. AN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)